

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,759	04/02/2004	Georgios Sakas	EFFE0010UEP-US 9961		
31518 NEIFELD IP L	7590 01/18/200 AW. PC	EXAMINER			
4813-B EISENHOWER AVENUE			LAURITZEN, AMANDA L		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>:</i>			3737		
			1	•	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Ar	plication No.	Applicant(s)			
			0/815,759	SAKAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Ex	aminer	Art Unit			
	•	An	nanda L. Lauritzen	3737			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears	s on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum sere to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a ply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this commined the commined part of the commined part			
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) file. This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	2b)⊠ This act for allowance	ion is non-final. except for formal mat		erits is		
Dienositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn f					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the specific or specific the second s	e: a) accepte ection to the draw g the correction i	ving(s) be held in abeya s required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) X Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 June 2004</u> .		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/815,759

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation "said geometry data" at line 7 on p. 3 lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3737

3. Claims 1-51 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 11/227,074. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current claims 1-51 are broader in scope and are therefore anticipated by the conflicting claims. The current claims are broader in that they do not specify the reference location to be defined at the surface of the object.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schneider (US 6,351,573).

Schneider discloses apparatus for combining for combining first and second image data, from two different imaging devices, the first being from an ultrasound detector (col. 1, line 36) and the second being one selected from the group of CT, MR, PET, X-ray, and a three-dimensional ultrasound imaging device (col. 1, lines 34-43). Additionally the second image data is derived from a second detector other than the original ultrasound detector (see "one modality" and "second modality" of the Abstract). The images are combined with a combination device that is adapted to transfer geometry data that includes at least one of a spatial dimension, image position, orientation of the ultrasound image relative to a reference point, or information

Application/Control Number: 10/815,759

Art Unit: 3737

concerning a region or area covered by the images (refer to the Abstract in which corresponding and displaying of a second image with a line of view established for a first image is taken to be combining of images; geometry data is taken to be coordinate data). The apparatus includes structure for storing and receiving second image data (see storage and retrieval of image data at col. 7, lines 32-34). Schneider further establishes detector positioning relative to at least one of a position sensor and a signal source to be known within the ultrasound art (see position-indicating probe at col. 1, lines 60-65 and position sensing articulated arm at col. 2, lines 51-53). The invention of Schneider includes scaling of the first and second image (see Abstract in which "follow image to correspond to the scale, rotation, and position of the lead image").

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda L. Lauritzen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4303. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,759 Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1/8/2007

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700